

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.4558 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.12.2016

Satish Kumar Arora and another

.....Appellants

Vs.

State Bank of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent no. 1.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions from the appellant, submits that as regards this appeal, he has no grievance left, the decretal amount having been paid.

Hence, he wishes to withdraw the appeal.

However, it is also stated by the appellant, present in Court, that the title deeds to properties not concerned in the present *lis*, as were lying with the respondent-Bank, pertaining to property not involved in this *lis*, have been returned to him today.

The appellant has also tried to argue (in person) that since the title deeds were lying with the bank for a long time, he is entitled to damages.

Obviously, this Court would not even begin to go into that

question, that not being the subject matter of this *lis*. However, on request of both learned counsel present, the factum of the return of such title deeds to the appellant, has been recorded.

As regards this appeal, it stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 23, 2016
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.