

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No. 6982 of 2015
Date of decision: 21.07.2016**

Shakuntla Devi and Others

...Appellants

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. R. N. Lohan, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Concededly, vide notification dated 18.03.2009, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (*for short 'the Act'*), land situated in village Igrah, Tehsil and District Jind and in villlage Rajthal, Tehsil Narnaund, District Hisar, was acquired for a common purpose, i.e., construction of *Igrah Minor from RD 0 to 22725/tail off-taking at RD 228500 L* of Hansi Branch. In the appeal arising out of the same acquisition, RFA No. 2535 of 2013 - Raghbir and others vs. State of Haryana, that pertained to village Igrah, this Court, vide its judgment, dated 22.08.2013, had enhanced the compensation awarded to

the landowners to ₹11,25,000/- per acre, besides the statutory benefits as were admissible to the landowners. However, the present appeal pertains to village Rajthal.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellants too are entitled to the same compensation as was awarded by this Court vide its judgment dated 22.08.2013 rendered in the matters pertaining to village Igrah.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

Concededly, both the villages, i.e., Igrah and Rajthal, abut each other. Purpose of acquisition was common, for the land situated in both the villages was acquired by a common notification dated 18.03.2009 issued under Section 4 of the Act.

That being so, it is deemed just and appropriate to dispose of this appeal in terms of the judgment dated 22.08.2013, rendered by this Court in RFA No. 2535 of 2013 in the case of Raghbir and others (supra).

Ordered accordingly.

July 21, 2016

waseem

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**