

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1352 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:08.12.2016

Raghubir Singh @ Sukhbir and others

... Appellants

Vs.

Tarawati and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate
for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

C.M.No.3779-C of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application, duly supported by an affidavit, delay of 79 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M. stands disposed of.

RSA No.1352 of 2016 (O&M)

The suit has been filed by the plaintiffs challenging the mutations mentioned therein regarding exchange of land measuring about one acre with the constructed house on the premise that they were minors at that time. The suit had been instituted in the year 2008, whereas, as per the record brought on record, they attained the majority way back in the year 1988, thus, the suit was *ex facie* barred by law of limitation in view of the provisions of Article 60(a) of the Limitation Act.

In view of the aforementioned observation, I do not intend to differ with the findings rendered by both the Courts below which are based upon the appreciation of oral and documentary evidence, much less no substantial question of law arises for adjudication of the present appeal.

Accordingly, the Regular Second Appeal stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

December 08, 2016
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No