

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-9710-CI-2016; and
RFA No.6574 of 2015
Date of decision: 01.09.2016

Jai Parkash

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the applicant-appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 24.02.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.3860 of 2014 & other connected matters - Ajmer Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, vide which appeals, arising out of the same acquisition, were partly accepted and the compensation awarded to the landowners was enhanced by this court to ₹ 3610/- per sq. meter.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the main case is taken on board. And, for, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this court in Ajmer Singh's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

September 1, 2016
Rajan

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES/NO

Whether Reportable:

YES/NO