

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1) **Date of decision: 19.05.2016**
Civil Misc. No.5976-C of 2016 in/and
RSA No.3120 of 2013

Tek Chand and anotherAppellants

Vs.

Raj Kumar and othersRespondents

2) RSA No.3663 of 2013

Tek Chand and anotherAppellants

Vs.

Smt. Harbhajan Kaur and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate
for the appellants.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)
Civil Misc. No.5976-C of 2013

By this application, the appellants seeks to withdraw RSA No.3120 of 2013, as also the connected appeal, i.e. RSA No.3663 of 2013, filed by the same appellants, i.e. Tek Chand and Munni, who are stated to be brother and sister.

Appellant No.1 Tek Chand is stated to be present in Court, identified by his counsel and has also submitted his identity card issued by the Unique Identification Authority of India, on which photograph matches

with the person present in Court. As regards appellant No.2, learned counsel has produced in Court a compromise deed dated 29.04.2016, to which both the appellants, Tek Chand and Munni, are signatories, along with respondent-Harbhajan Kaur. The signatures of Munni on the compromise deed seems to match with the signatures on her power of attorney in the present appeal.

On query to appellant No.1-Tek Chand, he has stated that he has no objection at all to withdrawal of both the appeals and has the consent of his sister to do so, in view of the compromise deed. He further states that he is not withdrawing the appeal under any coercion or pressure.

RSA No.3120 of 2013 and RSA No.3663 of 2013

In view of the above, both the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

The photocopies of the compromise deed and identity card of appellant No.1 are ordered to be retained on this case file.

May 19 , 2016
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE