

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102/4

RFA No.4724 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:14.12.2016

Sabha Chand(deceased) th.LRs and another

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.P.Khatri, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.13163-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.13161-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 890 days in
filing the appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is
that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated
18.02.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.6809 of 2014**, titled “Raghibir
and others vs. State of Haryana and another”, vide which, in the appeals
arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation
awarded to the landowners to ₹ 59,88,657/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG
Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf respondents No.1 and
2 and Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent
No.3.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by

learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2)RCR (Civil) 437* and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507*, delay of 890 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.13162-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Sabha Chand-appellant, who is stated to have passed away on 19.10.2014, as depicted in para No.1 of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

CM 13160-CI-2016 and RFA No.4724 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Raghbir's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.890 days.

14.12.2016
neenu/sonia arora

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned -
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No