

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108/2

**RFA No.4645 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision:07.12.2016**

Smt. Vidya Devi and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Kulvir Narwal, Advocate
for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.12997-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.12998-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1680 days in
filing the appeal.

All what has been urged by the learned counsel for the
applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement,
dated 02.05.2016, rendered by this Court in RFA No.429 of 2013 (Raj Singh
and others Vs. State of Haryana and another), vide which, in the appeals
arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the
compensation, uniformly, to ₹19,91,300/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG,
Haryana, present in the court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1
and Mr.Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent
No.2.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned
counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 1680 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.12999-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of late Shanti-appellant No.5 and Birmati-appellant No.6 as depicted in Para Nos.1 and 2 are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.4645 of 2016

For, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in *Raj Singh's case (supra)*, the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 1680 days.

07.12.2016

neenu/sonia arora

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned -
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No