

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.12717-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.4577 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.11.2016.**

Smt. Ram Pati and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-12715-CI-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-12716-CI-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-12717-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1459 days in filing the accompanying appeal. All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 21.05.2013, rendered by this court in RFA No.897 of 2013 (Ved Parkash and another Vs. State of Haryana and another), vide which, the award rendered by the reference Court was set aside by this Court and the matter was remitted for re-decision. Further, he submits that in the similar situation, that is, RFA No.2278 of 2016 (Jagbir Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others), this Court had condoned the delay of 1212 days in filing the appeal, vide its order dated 3.6.2016.

Notice in the application.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, delay of 1459 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

RFA No.4577 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Ved Parkash's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision.

28.11.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No