

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.M. NO.5295 C OF 2013 &
R.S.A. NO.1997 OF 2013

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 21, 2016

Balbir Singh

.....Appellant

VERSUS

Sukhdev Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Manchanda, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Kulbhushan Soi, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

I have heard counsel for the parties.

Though both the Courts below have returned concurrent findings after properly appreciating the pleadings and evidence brought on record by the parties, yet keeping in view the fact that suit was for possession of the property and the same having been delivered to the respondents, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

April 21, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE