

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.3838 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 19.09.2016

Shuklal (deceased) through LRs

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-10629-CI-2016

This is an application to dispose of the appeal in terms of the order dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.4475 of 2012 [**Ram Chander and another v. State of Haryana and others**].

Notice.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

CM is allowed and the main case is taken on board.

CM-10630-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, the applicant is permitted to make good the deficiency in court fee.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-10631-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, delay of 1171 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-10632-CI-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

RFA-3838-2016

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.4475 of 2012 [**Ram Chander and another v. State of Haryana and others**], vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Accordingly, for, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Ram Chander's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

September 19, 2016
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO