

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

RFA No.3837 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:12.12.2016

Risalo and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.A.K.Sehrawat, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.10627-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 45 days in re-filing the appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 45 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.10626-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1940 days in filing the appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 28.01.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.1838 of 2011 – [**State of Haryana and others v. Ramphal**] and other connected matters, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation to ₹ 15,52,500/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 1940 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.10628-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Bharta-appellant No.8 and Rajwant-appellant No.12, as depicted in para No.2 and 2(a) of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3837 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Ramphal's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the

said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.1940 days.

12.12.2016
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable-	Yes/No