

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.101-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.38 of 2016
Date of Decision : 06.10.2016.**

Puran

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana through Collector, Jhajjar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.101-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 109 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, has caused appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 109 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

RFA No.38-2016

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 27.11.2015, rendered by this court in RFA No.3192 of 2015 (Ramkala Vs. State of Haryana and

others), vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, although, this court affirmed the assessment as also the compensation awarded by the reference Court but the landowners were held entitled to all the statutory benefit under Section 23(1A) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision dated 27.11.2015, rendered by this court in the case of Ramkala (*supra*), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

06.10.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No