

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.10240-CI of 2016 in/and  
RFA No.3687 of 2016(O&M)  
Date of decision: 06.12.2016**

Daya Nand and others

... Appellants

Versus

The State of Haryana through Collector, Gurgaon Tehsil & District Gurgaon  
and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. S.S. Kaliramna, Advocate  
for the appellant(s).

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

**CM-10238-CI-2016**

Allowed as prayed for.

**CM No. 10239-CI-2016**

For the reasons set out in the application, the delay of 19 days  
that has occurred in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM No. 10240-CI of 2016**

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1239 days in  
filing the accompanying appeal.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the matter in  
issue is squarely covered by the judgment dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this  
court in RFA No.4475 of 2012 (Ram Chander and another Vs. State of  
Haryana and others) & other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals  
arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the  
compensation awarded to the landowners. Further, he submits that in the  
connected appeals filed by him which also suffered from similar delay and

for the same reasons, this Court having found that the explanation rendered in the application constituted a sufficient cause. And, accordingly vide order dated 04.08.2016 the delay was condoned.

Notice in the application

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the State.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit and particularly the order dated 04.08.2016, passed by this Court, in RFA No. 2333 of 2016, the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

**RFA-3687 of 2016**

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in the case of Ram Chander and another (supra), the present appeal too is disposed of in terms of the said decision.

December 06, 2016  
sanjiv

**( ARUN PALLI )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO