

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.3649 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.09.2016**

Hawa Singh and others

..Appellant(s)

versus

State of Haryana through District Collector, Jind

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate
for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.10146-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, the same is allowed.
Consequently, the appeal is taken on Board.

CM No.10147-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1605 days in filing the appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 23.04.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.2176 of 2012**, titled "Rajinder versus State of Haryana", vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners. It is submitted that although the land that was the subject matter of consideration in the case of Rajinder (supra), formed part of the revenue estate of villages Ghaso Kalan and Dumerkhan Kalan, District Jind, whereas in the present case, the acquired land was situated within the revenue estate of village Sedha Majra, District Jind. But, that, he submits, would hardly make any difference, for, the land in all the three villages was acquired pursuant to the same notification, and for a common purpose i.e. construction of Barsola Feeder.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf the respondent(s).

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 1605 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3649 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Rajinder's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.1605 days.

07.09.2016
sanjiv

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

