

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.3554 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.09.2016

Asha @ Usha

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-9769-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. The delay of 54 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM-9770-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 90 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Notice.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. The delay of 90 in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM-9771-CI-2016; and
RFA-3554-2016

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 24.02.2016, rendered by

this court in RFA No.3860 of 2014 & other connected matters - Ajmer Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, vide which appeals, arising out of the same acquisition, were partly accepted and the compensation awarded to the landowners was enhanced by this court to ₹ 3610/- per sq. meter.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

Accordingly, for, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this court in Ajmer Singh's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

September 1, 2016
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES/NO

Whether Reportable:

YES/NO