

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.3528 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 30.08.2016

Daljit Prakash

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gautam Kailey, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-9672-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the main case is taken on board.

CM-9673-CI-2016

Allowed, as prayed for.

CM-9674-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 838 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicant is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 24.02.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.3860 of 2014 & other connected matters - Ajmer Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, vide which appeals, arising out of the same acquisition, were partly accepted and the compensation awarded to the landowners was enhanced by this court to ₹ 3610/- per sq. meter.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 838 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, for the period of delay the applicant shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA-3528-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this court in Ajmer Singh's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms. However, the appellant shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 838 days.

August 30, 2016
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO