

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.3413 of 2016(O&M)  
Date of decision: 06.12.2016

Dinesh Jain

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Ravindra Jain, Advocate for the appellant.  
Mr. Abhinash Jain, AAG, Haryana. ,

**ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)**

**CM-9442-CI-2016**

For the reasons set out in the application, the delay of 245 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

**CM-9443-CI-2016**

Allowed, as prayed for.

**CM-9444-CI-2016**

This is an application for condonation of delay of 286 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicant is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 08.12.2008, rendered by this court in RFA No.6 of 2008 [**State of Haryana v. Seema Rani and others**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

Notice.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application, the delay that has occurred in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA-3413-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Seema Rani's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

December 6, 2016  
Rajan

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO  
YES/NO