

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

RFA No.3233 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision 05.08.2016

Murti and others

..... Appellant(s).

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondent(s).

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate for the appellant(s).

CM No.8828-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.8827-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 768 days in re-filing the appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 768 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.8829-CI of 2016

Learned counsel for the applicant-appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 12.02.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.1427 of 2014** titled “Rajit and another vs. State of Haryana and others”, vide which this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana and Mr.Pritam Saini, Advocate, present in the Court, accept notices on behalf of the respondents.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the factual position as set out above. Consequently, the main case is taken on Board for hearing.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3233 of 2016

For, learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in the case of Rajit's and another (supra), the present appeal is also disposed of, in terms of the said decision.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

August 05, 2016
neenu/sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned/non-speaking

Whether reportable

Yes/No