

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

RFA No.3230 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:04.08.2016

Sunil Kumar and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Kamal Mor, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.8819-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.8820-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, leave prayed for is granted to the legal representatives of late Pahlad s/o Gordhan, who is stated to have passed away on 23.06.2011, during the pendency of the Reference, to institute and maintain this appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.8818-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1075 days in re-filing the appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 1075 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.8817-CI of 2016

Learned counsel for the applicant-appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.4475 of 2012** titled “Ram Chander and another vs. State of Haryana and others”, vide which this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Ms.Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the factual position as set out above. Consequently, the main case is taken on Board for hearing.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3230 of 2016(O & M)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Ram Chander's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision.

04.08.2016

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE