

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.8736-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.3195 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24.09.2016.**

Kuldeep Singh (since deceased) through LRs

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Ravindera Jain, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-8733-CI-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-8734-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, the delay of 112 days that has occurred in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

C.M. disposed of.

CM-8735-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, leave is granted to the legal representatives of late Kuldeep Singh, who is stated to have passed away on 28.05.2013, during the pendency of the reference, to institute and maintain the present appeal.

CM-8736-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 230 days in

filing the accompanying appeal.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 230 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

RFA-3195-2016

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 24.12.2015, rendered by this court in RFA No.3285 of 2014 (Megh Raj @ Sahi Ram Vs. State of Haryana and others), vide which, the compensation awarded to the landowners was enhanced by this Court.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision dated 24.12.2015, rendered by this court in the case of Megh Raj's (supra), the present appeal is also disposed of in the same terms.

24.09.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No