

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

262/1

Regular First Appeal No.2997 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 12, 2016

RattanLal (Deceased) through LRs

...Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for the appellants.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.8255-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for making good the deficiency of Court fee.

For the reasons mentioned in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit of the applicant-appellant, the same is allowed. The deficiency in Court fee which has been made good, is taken on record.

CM No.8256-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condonation of delay of 7 days in re-filing the appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application which is supported by the affidavit of the applicant-appellant, the same is allowed.

Delay of 7 days in re-filing the appeal stands condoned.

CM No.8258-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condonation of delay of 13 days in filing the appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application which is supported by the affidavit of the applicant-appellant, the same is allowed.

Delay of 13 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

CM No.8257-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for impleading the legal representatives of deceased-Rattan Lal, who is stated to have died on 27.08.2015. The details of the surviving legal representatives of deceased-Rattan Lal are mentioned in para 2 of the application. As per the mandated provisions, prayer has been made that the said persons be impleaded as legal

representatives of the deceased. The application is supported by the affidavit of Charan Singh son of deceased-Rattan Lal.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed. The legal representatives of deceased-Rattan Lal as mentioned in para 2 of the application are brought on record subject to just exceptions and for the purpose of present litigation only.

RFA No.2997 of 2016

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Counsel for the appellants submits that the present appeal is covered by the judgment passed by this Court in *RFA No.7108 of 2012* titled as *Rampal and others Versus Land Acquisition Collector and another*, decided on 16.09.2015, which could not be disputed by the counsel for the respondents.

This Court has also gone through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the present appeal and agree with the assertion of the counsel for the appellants.

In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of in same terms as *RFA No.7108 of 2012* titled as *Rampal and others Versus Land Acquisition Collector and another*.

It is further added that the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of 13 days which was the delay in filing the appeal.

December 12, 2016
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No