

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.8101-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.2911 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 29.09.2016.**

Ved and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Haryana State through Collector, Hisar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.8101-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 30 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 30 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM No.8102-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Consequently, leave is granted to the legal representatives of late Rattan Singh, who is stated to have passed away on 15.05.2012, during the pendency of the reference and legal representatives of late Krishan appellant No.4, to institute and maintain the present appeal.

RFA No.2911-2016

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 14.11.2013, rendered by this court in RFA No.2586 of 2013 (Sampuran Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana), vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation, awarded to the landowners to ₹10,94,000/- per acre. Although, he had prayed for compensation on account of severance, but he does not wish to press his prayer in this regard, and gives up his claim on this account.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision dated 14.11.2013, rendered by this court in the case of Sampuran Singh (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

29.09.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No