

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.7950-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.2839 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.09.2016.**

Sultan Singh and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.7950-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1032 days in filing the accompanying appeal. All what has been urged by the learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 28.08.2015, rendered by this court in RFA No.8961 of 2014 (Dharam Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected matters, vide which, this court had enhanced the compensation, awarded to the landowners to ₹11,58,000/- per acre.

Notice in the application.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015

(2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 1032 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, for the period of delay the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.7951-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Accordingly, legal representatives of late Shakuntla, who is stated to have expired on 11.04.2014, as depicted in paragraph 2 of the application are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

RFA No.2839 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Dharam Singh's case (*supra*), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 1032 days.

14.09.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No