

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--|
| 1 | | RFA No.2764 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2016 |
| Ram Chander and another | | ... Appellants |
| | Versus | |
| Haryana State and others | | ... Respondents |
| | | |
| 2 | | RFA No.2765 of 2016(O&M) |
| Ram Murti and another | | ... Appellants |
| | Versus | |
| Haryana State and others | | ... Respondents |
| | | |
| 3 | | RFA No.2766 of 2016(O&M) |
| Ram Chander and another | | ... Appellants |
| | Versus | |
| Haryana State and others | | ... Respondents |

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PK Chugh, Advocate for
 Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate for the appellants.
 Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana,
 for respondents No.1 & 2.
 Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for
 Mr. Pritam S. Saini, Addl. AG, Haryana,
 for respondent No.3-HSIDC.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Delay

There is a delay of 701 days in filing the accompanying appeals.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that these appeals are squarely covered by the judgment, dated 18.02.2016,

rendered by this court in RFA No.6809 of 2014 & other connected matters – Raghubir and others v. State of Haryana and another, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners to ₹ 59,88,657/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for Mr. Pritam S. Saini, Addl. AG, Haryana, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and 3, respectively.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 701 days in filing the accompanying appeals is condoned. However, for the period of delay the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CMs stand disposed of.

Main cases

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Raghubir's case (supra), the appeals are disposed of in the same terms. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 701 days.

September 02, 2016
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES/NO

Whether Reportable:

YES/NO