

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

260/12

Regular First Appeal No.2652 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 12, 2016

Begh Raj & others

...Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate, for the appellants.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.7702-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condonation of delay of 22 days in filing the appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application which is supported by the affidavit of the applicant-appellant, the same is allowed.

Delay of 22 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

CM No.7703-CI of 2016

Prayer in this application is for filing the appeal on behalf of the legal representatives of deceased-Ram Singh, who is stated to have died on 09.03.2011 during the pendency of the case before the Court below as inadvertently, his legal representatives could not brought on record before passing of the impugned award. The details of the surviving legal representatives of deceased-Ram Singh are mentioned in para 1 of the application. As per the mandated provisions, prayer has been made that the said persons be impleaded as legal representatives of the deceased. The application is supported by the affidavit of Shyamvir son of deceased-Ram Singh.

In view of the above, the prayer made in the present application

is accepted. The legal representatives of deceased-Ram Singh as mentioned in para 1 of the application are brought on record subject to just exceptions and for the purpose of present litigation only.

The application stands disposed of, accordingly.

RFA No.2652 of 2016

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Counsel for the appellants submits that the present appeal is covered by the judgment passed by this Court in *RFA No.7108 of 2012* titled as *Rampal and others Versus Land Acquisition Collector and another*, decided on 16.09.2015 and the relief which has been granted by this Court in the said judgment, has already been granted by the Court below, which fact is not disputed by the counsel for the respondents.

This Court has also gone through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the present appeal and agree with the assertion of the counsel for the appellants.

In view of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed.

December 12, 2016
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No