

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.7434-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.2516 of 2016
Date of Decision : 26.10.2016.**

Hans Raj and another

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)
CM No.7434-CI of 2016

Learned State counsel has filed reply to the application seeking condonation of delay of 675 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 675 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

RFA No.2516 of 2016

Learned counsel for the appellants fairly points out at the outset, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment dated 8.12.2008, rendered by this Court, in RFA No.6 of 2008 (State of Haryana Vs. Seema Rani and others). He submits that this Court had enhanced the

compensation awarded to the landowners in the case of Seema Rani and others (supra). And, as in the matter in hand, the reference Court itself has awarded the same compensation to the appellants-landowners as in the case of Seema Rani, vide judgment dated 8.12.2008, any further enhancement in the compensation is not feasible.

That being so, the appeal is dismissed.

26.10.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No