

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

RFA No.2359 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:02.09.2016

Santosh Rani

....appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the appellant

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.7094-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.7092-CI of 2016

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 27 days
in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported
by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Delay of 27 days in re-filing the appeal
is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.7093-CI of 2016

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 355 days
in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicant is
that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated
24.12.2015, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.3285 of 2014** titled “Megh
Raj @ Sahi Ram versus State of Haryana and others”, vide which this Court
had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 355 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.2359 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Megh Raj's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellant shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.355 days.

02.09.2016
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No.

Whether reportable- Yes/No