

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.2352 of 2016

Date of Decision : 29.09.2016.

Hawa Singh (deceased) through LRs and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Haryana State through Collector, Hisar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 14.11.2013, rendered by this court in RFA No.2586 of 2013 (Sampuran Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana), vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation, awarded to the landowners to ₹10,94,000/- per acre. Although, he had prayed for compensation on account of severance, but he does not wish to press his prayer in this regard, and gives up his claim on this account.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision dated 14.11.2013, rendered by this court in the case of Sampuran Singh (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

29.09.2016

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No