

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM No.6999-CI of 2016 &
RFA No.2327 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.07.2016

Dev Parkash and others

... Appellants

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Harsh Neyol, Advocate for
Ms. Ruchita Grag, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-6999-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 2286 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 2286 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

RFA-2327-2016(O&M)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision, dated 08.04.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.1956 of 2010 & other connected matters - State of Haryana and another v. Hans Raj and others, vide which the appeals preferred by the landowners were partly accepted and compensation was enhanced to ₹380/- per sq. yard.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the above-said position.

Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the decision of this court in Hans Raj's case (supra).

July 12, 2016
Rajan

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**