

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.2303 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 02.08.2016.**

Om Parkash and another

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Parmod Parmar, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.6938-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 2262 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Reply to the application has not been filed.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 6.11.2015, rendered by this court in RFA No.3787 of 2009, Gaje Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and another, vide which this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 2262 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, for the period of delay the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.6936-CI of 2016 in/and RFA-2303-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Gaje Singh's case (*supra*), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 2262 days.

02.08.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No