

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RS No.7-C of 2011 (O&M) in
RSA No.43 of 1993**

Date of Decision: 22.08.2016

Ved Parkash & others

.....Applicants/appellants

Versus

Rambilas Gupta & others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar, Advocate for the applicants/appellants.

DARSHAN SINGH, J (ORAL)

The application moved by the appellants under Order 5 Rule 20 for substituted service of legal representatives of respondent-Ved Parkash and Imarati Devi was allowed by this Court, vide order dated 18.12.2014 but the appellants have not deposited the necessary expenses for issuance of the notice by way of publication in the newspaper. So, the appellants have not complied with the directions of the Court inspite of availing several opportunities. So, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to move the application for restoration of the appeal subject to deposit of the necessary publication charges.

22.08.2016

Vinay

**[DARSHAN SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No