

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.696 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.08.2016

Narinder Singh through LRs.

...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court had issued the notice to the appellant as Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate has showed his inability.

As per office report, appellant No.1 has been served but there is no representation. Appellant No.2 has not been served due to non-furnishing of correct address.

It appears that they are not interested in pursuing the present matter. Due to inadvertence, presence of Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate has been marked in order dated 30.04.2015.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

05.08.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- No

Whether reportable:- No