

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209-3

RFA No.1679 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:23.08.2016

Bansi Lal (deceased) th.LRs and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.5191-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.5189-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 355 days in filing the appeal.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 24.12.2015, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.3285 of 2014**, titled “Megh Raj @ Sahi Ram versus State of Haryana and others”, vide which this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2)*

RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others,

2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 355 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.5190-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Bansi Lal-appellant No.1, Dharam Singh-appellant No.3 and Indera Devi-appellant No.5, as depicted in para Nos.2,3 and 4, are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.1679 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Megh Raj's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.355 days.

23.08.2016

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No