

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Regular Second Appeal No.5166 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 23, 2016**

Darshan Singh

.....APPELLANT.

VERSUS

Suresh Kumar and others

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.

Affidavit of respondent No.3-Sunita d/o Hari Ram filed today is taken on record, wherein, she has acknowledged the terms of compromise dated 13th April, 2012 as well as 3rd July, 2014.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 submits that she is present in court and he has instructions to make submissions on her behalf that the appeal may be decided as per terms mentioned in compromise placed on record as Annexure A2.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter has been duly settled with respondents No.1 and 3 and under the settlement

possession of part of the suit land has already been delivered to the appellant. In view of the settlement, the appellant give up his claim against the remaining respondents, in respect of the remaining suit land.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, compromise Annexure A2 is made part of the file as Ex.C-1 and the appeal is disposed of as per the terms mentioned in the compromise, which shall form part of the decree. It is made clear that this decree is only against respondents No.1 to 3 and not against the remaining respondents.

April 23, 2016
deepak/jv

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE