

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM No.14236-C of 2016 in/&
RSA No.500 of 2012
Date of decision: 09.12.2016

Annu

.... Appellant

versus

Hari Krishan & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms. Seerat Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate and
Ms. Neeu Thakur, Advocate
for respondent – UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Rajinder Ghai, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.(Oral)

1. Vide the application bearing CM No.14236-C of 2016, the present appeal is sought to be decided in terms of a settlement arrived at between the parties to the appeal before the learned Mediator of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

2. As per the report of learned Mediator dated 02.08.2016, a settlement/agreement was entered into on the same date, which is seen to be signed by the sole appellant, as also by respondents No.1 and 2, with a Senior Assistant from the office of the Municipal Commissioner, UT, Chandigarh (respondent No.3) being a witness to the settlement, along with counsel for respondent No.4, i.e. the Chandigarh Housing Board.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 has identified respondent No.1, present in Court. The said respondent does not dispute the settlement, which was essentially between the daughter-in-law of the first two respondents, and them (Respondents no.1 and 2). Further, respondent no.2 though not present in Court is stated to be the wife of respondent no.1, as is apparent even from the memo of parties. Respondent no.1 submits that he identifies the signatures of his wife Kailash Watti on the agreement dated 02.08.2016.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 is also present in Court and does not dispute the agreement.

5. In view of the above, the judgments and decrees of the Courts below are, therefore, set aside and this appeal is disposed of in terms of the settlement dated 02.08.2016.

The decree sheet be prepared accordingly, annexing therewith a copy of the settlement agreement as part of the decree. The parties are held bound to the same.

09.12.2016
sonia

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes

2. Whether reportable?

No