

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.1175 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.07.2016.**

Ved Parkash and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.4081-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, the delay in making good the deficiency in payment of Court fee is condoned.

CM No.4079-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 972 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal. For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 972 days that has occurred in re-filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM No.4080-CI of 2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM No.4082-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of late Dharam Parkash are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

RFA No.1175 of 2016

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision, rendered by this Court, dated 20.05.2016, in RFA No.4475 of 2012 and other connected matters, titled “Ram Chander and another Vs. State of Haryana and others”, vide which this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the land-owners.

The above position is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

That being so, the present appeal is disposed of in terms of an order/judgment dated 20.05.2016, rendered in RFA No.4475 of 2012.

12.07.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE