

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-277-SB of 2011(O&M)

Date of Decision:22.12.2016

Gurbachan Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. C.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

The appeal was put up by the Registry as the case was adjourned sine die vide order dated 13.08.2013 on account of non appearance of learned counsel for the appellant.

It was an appeal against order date 08.01.2011 vide which the penalty of Rs.50,000/- was imposed on the the appellant as he had stood surety for the accused-Gurdian Singh who committed default in appearance and was ultimately declared proclaimed offender. Proceedings were initiated against the appellant for recovery of the fine imposed upon the appellant.

Since, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that she has no address of the appellant and there is also no other person appearing on behalf of the appellant, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

December 22, 2016
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No