

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA no. 983 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision :04.07.2016**

Hirdey Pal Singh Rahi

....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of U.T, Chandigarh and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER  
HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present : Mr. Hirdey Pal Singh Rahi, appellant in person

**MAHESH GROVER, J.**

The appellant is in appeal against the order of the learned Single Judge dated 4.3.2016 dismissing writ petition preferred by the appellant by questioning his locus.

The appellant has been pursuing a complaint against respondent no.7 alleging impersonation during the course of trial faced by him (respondent no.7) while being abroad.

It is not disputed that the applicant is neither the complainant in the criminal case faced by respondent no.7 nor has he any material concern with it but has pursued this matter proclaiming public interest. It is also not in dispute that he has moved an application under Section 340 Cr.P.C which is still pending.

We notice on the undisputed status of the appellant as a stranger to the criminal trial faced by respondent no.7 that his locus has rightly been questioned by the learned Single Judge to dismiss his writ petition. We,

therefore, do not see any reason to interfere with it in the absence of any infirmity and dismiss the appeal with liberty to the appellant to pursue the proceedings under Section 340 Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

**(Mahesh Grover)**  
**Judge**

04.07.2016  
rekha

**(Sneh Prashar)**  
**Judge**