

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 834 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.5.2016

Smt. Seema Batra

.. Appellant

v.

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. S. P. Arora, Advocate for the appellant.
Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate for the caveator-
respondent No. 2.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Respondent No. 2 before the learned Single Judge, whose transfer has been set aside, has filed the present intra-court appeal.

The contention raised is that transfer of the appellant was on administrative grounds. Even the Minister-in-charge was Head of the Department, who recommended her transfer and the learned Single Judge had wrongly interfered with the order of transfer.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find any reason to interfere in the present appeal. It was not that the transfer of the appellant was not made at the time of general transfers, rather, the order was passed on 15.12.2015. The learned Single Judge summoned the record and found that the transfer was effected for purely political reasons and it was made on the recommendations made by the Minister for Urban Local Bodies and Women & Child Development, Haryana, which was not opined to be the administrative reason. On a perusal of the record, the learned Single Judge having formed an opinion, even if a second view is possible,

this court would not like to exercise that power. There is no merit in the present appeal. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

18.5.2016
mk