

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 4198 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 22, 2016

Rajinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Santokh Gill & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the appellant has not filed the correct address of respondent No.2 and therefore, notice could not be issued to the said respondent, as per order dated 10.09.2015.

Counsel for respondent No.1 states that in the execution proceedings, the sale deed has been executed by the appellant in favour of the respondent-plaintiffs.

Counsel for the appellant is not present. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the case any further.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

April 22, 2016

Harish