

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-415-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.08.2016

Haryana Urban Development Authority

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Rajiv Chopra

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. B.S. Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate and
Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

On the previous date of hearing, Mr. D.K. Mittal, DAG, Haryana is present, who is representing only the State of Haryana, but not on the panel of HUDA and even today, there is no representation on behalf of the HUDA.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

19.08.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE