

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.664 of 2016

Date of Decision : 25.4.2016

Hardeep Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

....

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

...

Present: Mr.Anil Rathee, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The appellant impugns the judgment of the learned
Single Judge dated 17.3.2016.

The appellant is working as a contractual employee
and approached this Court when he apprehended termination of
his services in the wake of an advertisement issued by the
respondents intending to employ more persons on contractual
basis.

Before the writ court the respondents took the stand
that no such appointments are being made on contractual basis.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that once posts are lying vacant he would be entitled to continue till the time regular appointments are made.

We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and are of the view that there is no infirmity committed by the learned Single Judge. The appellant, who is governed by the tenure of his service, would have no enforceable right in his favour to insist that his services be not dispensed with till the time regular incumbents are appointed. It is the prerogative of the employer to use the vacancies available with him in the manner he chooses. If the services of the appellants are sought to be replaced by another set of contractual employees he would certainly have a cause.

Finding no infirmity in the impugned judgment, the appeal is hereby dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

25.4.2016
dss

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE