

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA-636-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 11, 2016

Gulzar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. N.K. Nagar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

The appellant is aggrieved by the order dated 21.1.2016, whereby his claim for promotion from the date his junior was promoted on 4.4.1996, to the post of Technical Advisor (Auto), has been turned down.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant at a considerable length and gone through the record. Since there were adverse remarks of doubtful integrity for the year 1993-94 which were duly conveyed to the appellant and his representation against those remarks was rejected, learned Single Judge has rightly rejected the appellant's claim.

So long as the adverse remarks are not set aside, the embargo created by the Government policy, dated 22.10.1996, against promotion of

the appellant would continue to operate.

Dismissed.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 11, 2016
P Kapoor