

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 628 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 24.08.2016

Mangat Ram

....Appellant

Versus

Chief Canal Officer and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr. B.S.Mittal, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

This appeal is directed against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 26.11.2014.

We have seen the site plan which indicates that the Chief Canal Officer after looking into the grievance of the parties afresh has sanctioned a watercourse as 'CDEF' in the site plan which was sanctioned at a prior time also before the parties embarked upon this litigation. It may be noticed that at one stage the parties had arrived at a consensus on the basis of which the matter was remitted back to the Chief Canal Officer by this Court to pass an order in terms of the agreement but before him the parties refused to honour the settlement resulting in fresh exercise of sanctioning the water course. After perusal of the site plan we

are convinced that no prejudice has been caused to either of the parties and interest of the irrigation of both the parties is adequately safeguarded.

In the absence of any prejudice manifesting itself from the now sanctioned water course, we refrain from interference in these proceedings.

No ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

24.08.2016
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No