

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA 486 of 2016

Date of Decision : June 3, 2016

HC Malook Singh

.....Appellant

VERSUS

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present : Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The writ petition preferred by the appellant stands dismissed by the learned Single Judge on the ground that from year 2008 till 2013, he remained satisfied with the impugned order and woke up only when the Officers' Committee refused to recommend his case.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant had challenged the impugned order passed in the year 2008 by filing CWP 2802 of 2009, which came to be disposed of by this Court on 26.8.2011 by issuing a direction to the Officers Committee to consider his claim and take an appropriate decision. The factum of filing of the aforementioned writ petition was duly stated in para 21 of the writ petition and also agitated at the time of final hearing of the writ petition but the same has not been taken into consideration by the learned Single Judge while

non-suiting the appellant.

If the aforementioned stand of the appellant is correct, then he has the remedy of filing a review application before the learned Single Judge instead of filing the present appeal.

In view of the above, the appeal is disposed by relegating the appellant to the remedy of filing review application before the learned Single Judge.

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

June 3, 2016
amit rana

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**