

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.477 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.09.2016

Vipan Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr.Narinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

CM No.1038-LPA of 2016

After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant-
appellant, marginal delay of 24 days in filing the appeal is
condoned.

C.M. stands allowed.

CM No.3693-LPA of 2016

C.M. is allowed. Annexure A-1 is taken on record.

LPA No.477 of 2016

This is an appeal directed against the judgment of the
learned Single Judge dated 05.12.2015.

The appellant filed a writ petition with a grievance that
the order dated 14.05.2012 passed by the Deputy Director (Field),

Department of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs (Food Distribution), Ferozepur be set aside which had the impact of restoring the public distribution depot to respondent No.7- Chamkaur Singh. The appellant in his complaint alleged irregularities being committed by the depot-holder which were looked into by the authorities concerned eventually resulting in cancellation of the depot after initial suspension.

The learned Single Judge noticed that the allegations against the respondent No.7 were not as serious to warrant suspension of his ration-depot. Notice was also taken of a lingering dispute between the present appellant and the depot-holder with a complaint filed by the mother of respondent No.7 against the father of the present appellant pursuant to which the father of the appellant was also charge-sheeted. Vide the impugned order in the writ proceedings the learned Single Judge also noticed that the allegations of irregularities pertained to a period 2-1/2 years prior to the passing of the order with no allegations of misappropriation. The learned Single Judge noticed all these factors to dismiss the writ petition filed by the present appellant. Before us nothing substantial has been argued except to reiterate the arguments raised before the learned Single Judge.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, we

find no reason to interfere with the judgment of the learned Single Judge as no serious allegations of impropriety such as misappropriation of food items intended for public distribution have surfaced from the complaint made by the appellant and no error in law has been pointed out in the impugned judgment.

Appeal dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

15.09.2016
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No