

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No. 468 of 2016 O&M)
Date of decision: 30.3.2016

State of Punjab and another

.. Appellants

v.

Mukesh Kumar

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Ms. Munisha Gandhi, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Ms. Harleen Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The State is in intra-court appeal against the order passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition filed by the respondent, was allowed and he was directed to be given one grace mark for a wrong question answer in booklet series No. 4 in PSTET 2014. Along with the appeal, an application for condonation of delay of 82 days in filing thereof has also been filed.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellants, we do not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order. The respondent has been awarded one grace mark for the reason that there was apparent error in question No. 114 in Punjabi and English version. In Punjabi version, the word “acre” was mentioned, whereas in English version, “hectare” was mentioned, as a result of which the suggested answers could not match. The aforesaid fact is not disputed. It was for the reason that this court directed for award of one grace mark to the respondent, who appeared in the test, and

as a result of which he could pass the same.

For the reasons mentioned above, we do not find any reason to take a view different than what has been taken by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay is also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

30.3.2016
mk