

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 441 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.5.2016

Darbara Singh

.. Appellant

v.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousuf, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Aggrieved against the order dated 19.1.2016, passed by the learned Single Judge, dismissing the writ petition, the appellant has filed the present intra-court appeal.

The appellant sought to impugn the order dated 28.8.2014, vide which the prayer of the appellant for grant of sanction for prosecution of certain police officials was rejected. The order passed by the competent authority was upheld by this court considering the fact that the appellant though was acquitted finally, however, initially had been proceeded against in the FIR considering the statement made by his co-accused that part of the money received was given to the appellant. The appellant was acquitted as the complainant later on resiled from her earlier statement. There is no error in the opinion expressed by the learned Single Judge. While concurring with the same, we do not find any reason to interfere in the present appeal.

Accordingly, the same is dismissed. Consequently, the application for

condonation of delay is also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

18.5.2016
mk