

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.388 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.5.2016

Roshan Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

....

Present: Mr.Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

We do not find any infirmity in the order of the learned Single Judge who while affirming the choice of Lambardar as recorded concurrently by the authorities below had also dismissed the writ petition on the ground of delay. The appointment of respondent No.5 as Lambardar was by order dated 17.11.2009 against which an appeal was preferred by the appellant which was dismissed on 14.6.2011 which order was impugned by him by preferring a revision before the Financial Commissioner on 13.2.2015 after a lapse of more than 4 years.

Evidently, the appellant had initially approached the competent authority also after an inordinate unexplained delay as also the writ court which is fatal to the case of the appellant as held by the learned Single Judge.

Besides, there is a delay of 71 days in filing and 17 days in

re-filing the present appeal which we are not inclined to condone in the present circumstances.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

19.5.2016
dss

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE