

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.357 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-22.3.2016.

State of Haryana and another

.....Appellants

Versus

Lekha Ram and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana for the
appellants.

Mr. Surinder Pal, Advocate for respondent No.1/caveator.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Though learned State counsel does not have any instructions but counsel for the contesting respondent, who is on caveat, points out that the order under appeal has been implemented and the first respondent has since been appointed as Assistant Engineer (Civil) vide appointment letter dated 10.2.2016. He further points out that similar writ petition i.e. ***CWP No.5242 of 2010 (Ramesh Chander Vs. State of Haryana)*** was allowed by learned Single Judge vide order dated 31.8.2015 against which no LPA has been preferred by State of Haryana and the judgment of learned

Single Judge in that case has also been implemented.

In this view of the matter, we dismiss this appeal to maintain parity amongst the candidates possessing similar qualification. However, the question of law raised in this appeal is kept open to be gone into in an appropriate case.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 22, 2016.

sandeep sethi